# Castle Community Meeting

DATE: Tuesday, 15 November 2016

TIME: 6:00 pm

PLACE: Presentation Suite, Ground Floor,

City Hall, 115 Charles Street,

Leicester, LE1 1FZ

# **Ward Councillors**

Councillor Patrick Kitterick Councillor Deborah Sangster Councillor Lynn Senior

# **Conduct Guidance**

The behaviour of people at ward community meetings is important to the success of the meeting. Everyone attending today's meeting is kindly asked to comply with the following arrangements:

- Respect the views of others
- Keep to the Agenda
- · One person speaks at a time
- Keep disruption to the minimum (mobile phones on silent) and no side discussions

If anyone does not comply with the guidance, they may be warned that they may be asked to leave the meeting.

# Making Meetings Accessible to All

**Access –** Meetings are held in a variety of community venues. We will only hold meetings in venues where there is suitable access for wheelchairs. If you have any concerns about accessing a venue by wheelchair, please contact the Democratic Support Officer on the number given below. If you feel you may not be able to hear what's being discussed at a meeting please contact the Democratic Support Officer on the number below.

# **Braille / Audio tape / Translation**

If you require this please contact the Democratic Support Officer (production times will depend upon equipment/facility availability).

**Social Media -** The Council is committed to transparency and supports efforts to record and share reports of proceedings of public meetings through a variety of means, including social media. If you wish to film proceedings at a meeting please let us know as far in advance as you can so that it can be considered by the Chair of the meeting who has the responsibility to ensure that the key principles set out below are adhered to at the meeting.

Key Principles. In recording or reporting on proceedings you are asked:

- ✓ to respect the right of others to view and hear debates without interruption;
- ✓ to ensure that the sound on any device is fully muted;
- ✓ where filming, to only focus on those people actively participating in the meeting;
- ✓ where filming, to (via the Chair of the meeting) ensure that those present are
  aware that they may be filmed and respect any requests to not be filmed

#### 1. INTRODUCTIONS

The Chair will introduce those present and make any necessary announcements.

The Chair and any other Councillors who are present will make any declarations as required by the Councillors' Code of Conduct.

## 2. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

# 3. ACTION LOG Appendix A

Attached for information and discussion.

## 4. UNIVERSITY COMMUNITY LIAISON

Representatives from De Montfort University and Leicester University will provide details of their community liaison activities

#### 5. 20MPH ZONE - UPDATE

An update will be provided on the 20 miles per hour zone in the Clarendon Park Road and Knighton Fields Road area

# 6. VICTORIA PARK PLAYGROUND - FENCING OPTIONS

An update will be given on proposed options for fencing at the Victoria Park Playground

#### 7. 'TO LET' / 'FOR SALE' BOARDS

An update will be provided on the 'To-Let' / 'For Sale' board policy consultation

## 8. POLICE UPDATE

The Police will provide an update on their activities in the Ward

# 9. CITY WARDEN UPDATE

The City Warden will provide an update on environmental and enforcement activities in the Ward

#### 10. WARD COMMUNITY BUDGET

Councillors are reminded that they will need to declare any interest they may have in budget applications

An update will be given on the Ward Community budget

## 11. ANY OTHER BUSINESS

# Help us to make improvements!

Please help us to improve Community Meetings by filling in an **Evaluation sheet** to let us know what you thought of the meeting.

Thank you.

# For further information, please contact

Angela Martin, Ward and Community Engagement Officer (Tel: 0116 454 6571) (Email: Angela.Martin@leicester.gov.uk)

or

Jason Tyler, Democratic Support Officer, (Tel: 0116 454 6359) (Email: Jason.Tyler@leicester.gov.uk)

www.leicester.gov.uk/communitymeetings

#### Contact address:

Third Floor - Granby Wing, City Hall, 115 Charles Street, Leicester, LE1 1FZ

# Appendix A

# **CASTLE COMMUNITY MEETING**

# **TUESDAY, 12 JULY 2016**

# St John the Baptist Church, Clarendon Park Road, Leicester LE2 3AD

NO	<u>ITEM</u>	ACTION REQUESTED AT MEETING
1.	INTRODUCTIONS	Councillor Kitterick took the Chair and welcomed everyone present.  In respect of the Councillors Code of Conduct, Councillor Senior declared an interest that and she lived in Clarendon Park and that a relative of hers owned a flat in the Cultural Quarter.
2.	APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE	An apology for absence was received from Councillor Sangster.
3.	ACTION LOG	The Action Log of the Castle Community Meeting held on 15 March 2016 was agreed and noted.
4.	CASTLE WARD SECURE HOMES SCHEME	Daxa Pancholi (Head of Community Safety) gave a presentation, which advised of results following the implementation of the Castle Ward Burglary Reduction scheme Phase 1 during 2013/14. The presentation also provided details of the continuation of the scheme in 2015/16.  It was reported that a multi-agency approach to reduce burglaries, which had included the Police, Community Safety Officer, City Wardens, Leicester Safer Partnership and volunteers had carried out:  • 'Cocooning' works as part of a 'Target Hardening Scheme' provided by Leicester Safer Partnership, which involved the installation of alley gates, fencing and home security packages.  • Door to door consultation and leaflets with information of the scheme for all residents.  • Wider consultation undertaken by the Community Safety Officer with land owners to obtain consent for the installation of alley gates, fencing and railings.

In respect of the implementation it was reported that 62 properties had benefited from the scheme and that funding had been made available from the ward community budget, the JAG and the Community Safety Team.

The results and feedback following the scheme were reported as follows:

- Multi-Agency sharing data and working together to prevent further incidents of crime and ASB had been recognised and welcomed.
- Combined funding had provided for target hardening scheme.
- Properties had benefit from the increased security.
- Victims felt less vulnerable in their homes.

The presentation included details of the continued scheme to a wider area during 2015/16 and the streets identified for improvements in phases 2 and 3 were noted.

Daxa was thanked for her presentation.

In discussing the success of the scheme, residents raised questions concerning the installation of the alley gates, commenting that their effect relied on users keeping them locked. It was also reported that key replacements were often necessary when residents moved, and it was confirmed that keys could not be copied or reproduced at general hardware shops or ironmongers. In response to comments regarding th4e need to keep the gates locked, it was reported that this was considered to be an educational issue, and that options including self-closers had been abandoned due to public liability and health and safety concerns.

In response to a question concerning the closure of some alleyways, Councillors clarified that in the Castle Ward, and particularly the Clarendon Park area, it was not considered suitable to close public rights of way to deter burglars. It was considered preferable to combat the issue by the extension of the Burglary Reduction Scheme.

		In conclusion it was noted that an application for ward community grant funding was expected at a future meeting.
5.	VICTORIA PARK - UPDATE	Councillors referred to the recent installation of play equipment in Victoria Park and invited comments from residents.
		It was suggested that the 'open' unfenced play area could cause dangerous situations for children having regard to the proximity of the adjacent walkways which were also frequently used by cyclists.
		It was suggested to the contrary that parents and families had enjoyed the open nature of the play equipment and had used the surrounding grassed areas while children played.
		Councillors thanked residents for their comments and advised that before making any decision they would monitor the situation over the summer and consider all comments or issues either to have fencing, or part fencing, or no fencing, and would discuss the matter again at the next ward meeting to be held on 15 November 2016.
		To support the monitoring process, they asked that any incidents/situations witnessed be recorded and forwarded to them.
6.	POLICE UPDATE	Police Insp Simon Preston reported on Police activities in the ward.
		He commented on the earlier presentation on the Burglary Reduction Scheme and advised that the Police fully supported the initiative and its continuation given its success. He advised that no burglaries had been recorded in the roads concerned since the introduction of phase 1 of the scheme.
		Insp Preston updated the meeting concerning Antisocial behaviour, Street drinking, and legal highs where problems were continuing. It was noted that the problems were relatively minor in isolation but that significant concerns remained due to the collective issues being experienced.
		In respect of legal highs it was reported that some

legislative changes had been introduced since the previous meeting and that Public Space Protection Orders were being considered to deal with the issue. A combined initiative to deal with legal highs and street drinking had seen some displacement from areas in the Ward, particularly around New Walk and its adjoining public open space.

Recorded instances in vehicle crime had increased and a further publicity and promotional exercise was being undertaken to encourage drivers to ensure that vehicles and were secure and that valuables were not left in vehicles. A particular problem involving the targeting by thieves of city centre underground car park areas was referred to.

In response to questions, Insp Preston provided details of an increase in hate crimes following the Referendum decision, including graffiti on Eid festival celebration posters and banners at Victoria Park. He advised that the Police and Crime Commissioner and Chief Constable had responded confirming a 'no tolerance' approach and had committed additional resources to ensure that residents felt safe. Liaison with religious groups and the Council of Faiths was ongoing to ensure that the issues were tackled appropriately.

In response to a further question concerning begging, it was confirmed that the Police encouraged the public to support homelessness charities and not to give to street beggars.

# 7. CITY WARDEN UPDATE

Chris Bramley-Brown (City Warden) updated the meeting with environmental and enforcement activities in the Ward.

He referred to the following issues:

- Businesses were being encouraged to ensure that waste was stored and disposed of properly. Multiple notices had been issued resulting in a high level of compliance.
- A significant amount of illegal street trading had been dealt with during the LCFC celebrations. Unlicensed and poor quality goods were seized from sellers who did not hold street trading licences.

- The clearance of areas of vacant land was being undertaken using new powers.
- Work continued with landlords to advise them of their responsibilities and to ensure that waste and unwanted items were properly disposed of when tenants moved.
- Cases concerning unlicensed scaffolding had proceeded to court with large fines being imposed on the offending companies.

In conclusion it was emphasised that any issues noticed by residents should be reported by phoning 0116 454 1001, by emailing customer services, or by using the loveleicester app.

# 8. COMMUNITY MEETING BUDGET

Councillors referred to the purpose of the ward Community Budget and the criteria for applications were explained.

## a) applications for funding

Applications were received and determined as follows:

Clarendon Park Summer Arts & Craft Fair -Annual arts & crafts fair £3,750 Part Supported - £3,250

Friends of Clarendon Park -Contribution towards nature trail for children £301 Supported in full.

ArtReach Trust -Series of events for refugees £2,150 Not Supported.

The Dawn Centre -Creative arts project £500 Supported in full

Stonesby House Residents Associations - Purchase of secure gates £500

# Supported in full

It was noted that 2 applications had been withdrawn.

## b) feedback from recipients of funding

Feedback from previous recipients of ward community funding was received from the following organisations:

Centre Project -

Redecoration of the centre premises in Granby Street.

#### ArtBeat -

Promotion and publicity, equipment hire, and other support to the community arts event.

# 9. ANY OTHER BUSINESS

# a) ST GEORGES CHURCHYARD PROPOSALS - CONSULTATION

Louise Seymour and Rosie Dymond (Development Team) reported on the consultation exercise being undertaken in respect of the proposed improvements to St George's Churchyard.

It was reported that the consultation would conclude on 3 August 2016.

An exhibition at LCB Depot included details of proposed options for the area, and information panels from the exhibition were displayed for information.

The consultation involved a questionnaire exercise, which could be completed online as part of the Council's consultation hub webpage, and manually by completing forms available at the exhibition.

Councillors referred to the removal of tress as part of the proposals for each option and commented on the recent Centenary Walk works at Victoria Park where many objections to the removal of mature trees had been expressed. Councillors commented that the consultation and planning processes for the works had been properly undertaken, although at a significantly earlier period. It was considered that the total visual effect of the works had not been explicitly explained at that time and that this had led to the regrettable situation given the sudden impact of the

works.

In this respect it was considered that a similar impact of works should be avoided at St George's Churchyard, it being noted that one option proposed the removal of 26 trees. A second option involved the removal of 9 of the trees.

Officers gave details of each of the options and confirmed that the removal of lime trees had been proposed to provide greater light and improved accessibility and connectivity through the churchyard. It was indicated that some of the trees were overmature and that thinning out would not provide the long term improvements sought.

It was also reported that improved architectural feature lighting was proposed together with the relocation of the Mermaid artwork 'arches' from West Bridge. In this respect the original siting of the artwork as part of the former Wholesale Market was explained.

In concluding the presentation, it was confirmed that following the conclusion and results of the current consultation process any scheme would then require a planning application and through this process there would be a further opportunity for consultation and representations to be made.

In discussing the options it was considered by Councillors that there was likely to be an opposition to the removal of trees, following the reaction to the works at Victoria Park. It was noted that the Church supported the removal of trees to assist their site maintenance, due to the reduced problems of leaf litter affecting drainage and to increase security with an improved and more accessible and open churchyard.

The Police also commented that they would fully support the removal of trees as the area suffered from significant anti-social behaviour problems. The Police considered that the improved accessibility and pathway alignment would help to solve these problems. It was considered that the area would be used more frequently should it be made lighter and more accessible and that it was important for people to feel safe when walking through the churchyard.

10.	CLOSE OF MEETING	The meeting closed at 8.00 pm.
		In response to a question it was suggested that an update on the policy concerning To-Let and For Sale signs be submitted to the next meeting.
		In response to a question it was suggested that an update on 20 Mph zones be submitted to the next meeting.  c) To let/For Sale Boards
		b) 20 MPH Zones
		In conclusion, the public were asked to complete the questionnaire exercise, either online or by completing forms which were available at the meeting. The results of the consultation and details of a selected scheme would be reported to a future meeting.
		In discussing the publicity of the consultation, it was suggested that this be enhanced as the works to the churchyard affected the whole city. In view of this, Councillors expressed a wish for the exhibition to be continued and that it be advertised citywide.
		In response to questions the process concerning trees protected by Tree Preservation Orders (TPOs) was clarified. It was reported that the majority of the trees in the churchyard were protected due to the Conservation Area status and therefore works or removal would require consent, which would be covered by any future planning application. It was confirmed that tree works were regularly undertaken to trees that were in Conservation Areas and that had TPOs.
		The appropriateness of the outdoor gym proposal was questioned by some residents.